



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,627	10/31/2003	Alec J. Babiarz	NOR-1147	3066

37172 7590 11/26/2008
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP (NORDSON)
2700 CAREW TOWER
441 VINE STREET
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

EXAMINER

TADAYYON ESLAMI, TABASSOM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1792

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

11/26/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

krooney@whepatent.com
mhines@whepatent.com
usptodock@whepatent.com

Response to Arguments

1. The amendment to the claims file on Nov. 13, 2008 has not entered as the new language requires further considerations and requires more search to be done.
2. Regarding the claims 21-23 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 11/13/08, with respect to 21-23 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 21-23 has been withdrawn.
3. The applicant argues that Mathias does not teach the droplets formed after the liquid resin is dispensed from the liquid nozzle. The examiner disagrees in fact fig. 2 clearly shows continues stream of the liquid form the nozzle and then becomes atomized (droplets) away from the nozzle opening.
4. The applicant argues combination of Mathias and Messerly is not proper as modifying Mathias to jet a series of droplets, instead of a continuous stream, directly from the orifice 7 in a jetting direction perpendicular to the substrate would eliminate the need for atomizing by the air from the atomizing holes 6. The examiner disagrees. The examiner doesn't require eliminating the atomization by air from the atomizing holes 6 in office action mailed on 09/17/08.
5. The applicant further argues combination of Hynes and Mathias is improper. The examiner disagrees for the same reason discussed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TABASSOM TADAYYON ESLAMI whose telephone number is (571)270-1885. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5.

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tabassom T. Tadayyon-Eslami
Examiner
Art Unit 1792

/Tabassom T. Tadayyon-Eslami/
Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Michael Cleveland/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792